



July 10, 2003

***** ADDENDUM *** ADDENDUM *** ADDENDUM *****

SOLICITATION: GM4001
DUE DATE: 07/15/03
TIME: 3:00 P.M.

DESCRIPTION: Request for Proposal (RFP) to provide ORS Computer Systems Analysis, Design, Coding

ADDENDUM #1

The following are to be added to the specifications/requirements for this proposal:

1. The following questions have been received in regards to this RFP and the corresponding answer is given below:

Q. Is there any level of expected quantity of services that is anticipated? Either in number of staff or number of hours. What can you tell me that would help define the effort needed?

A. We currently have six contractors who are each working approximately 40 hours per week and two contractors who are each working approximately 20 hours per week. These contracts expire on September 30, 2003.

We have more work than the contractors and staff can accomplish in the time frames requested, however, current budget restrictions presently will not allow us to increase the number of staff or contractors.

We hope to have the RFP evaluations completed, Federal and State approvals obtained, and new contracts in place by September 30, 2003.

The RFP is for programming, database, and system architect support for our mainframe application. We do not intend to migrate our current functionality to desktop PCs.

We do require the development to be performed in the languages mentioned in the RFP. The majority of the programming is done in COBOL and CICS.

DB2 is the existing database, and we have no plans to move away from it. The budget for Fiscal Year 2004 has been finalized as it relates to the RFP. It is a "not-to-exceed" budget, however, the budget could be changed at any time, based upon the fiscal well-being of the state.

Q. Under Evaluation Criteria, Section 4. B., it states that the skill level with the ORSIS development methodology is part of the evaluation criteria. What is that methodology? Is their a methodology you would prefer and what is it?

A. The development method which we use was adapted from IBM's Federal Systems Group methodology. Wade Stewart was the IBM Project Director when it was put in place. It is basically a waterfall methodology, which is followed rigorously.

Q. It is mentioned in the RFP document that "significant scoring points" will be given to the contractor with previous ORSIS experience. Additionally, the contractor's previous experience with ORSIS is also listed as the highest item of importance in the evaluation criteria rating list. Can you please share the weighting or percentage points assigned to this item as compared to the other criteria?

A. The scoring for each item is 0-5, with a possible total of 100 points. The weight of the items is as follows:

Contractor's experience with ORSIS-specific work accomplishments - 7

Skill level with ORSIS development methodology, ORSIS development tools and ORSIS business functions and processes - 5

In order to give consideration to the most cost-effective proposal, evaluation of the costs of services to be provided - 6

Offeror's submission of the following information: 1) Offeror's office address(es); 2) The names, contacts and addresses of references who can verify previous ORSIS development experience for the State of Utah; 3) The names, contacts and addresses of all State Agency accounts within the past three years; 4) Rates for Services - 2

2. The due date and time remain unchanged.

3. With RFP process questions contact Glendon Mitchell at 801-538-3138.

*****END OF ADDENDUM*****

To acknowledge receipt of addendum, include a copy of this addendum with the proposal submittal or give written acknowledgment with the proposal. It shall be the responsibility of the respondent to appropriately disseminate this information to all concerned prior to the assigned due date and time.

Name

Signature

Company

Date